

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/863,439	BEINDORFF ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Susan D. Coe	1655

All Participants:

(1) Susan D. Coe.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Paul Kokulis.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 September 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

6 and 19

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Kokulis agreed to the examiner's amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

J.WC

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)